

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/020,594	12/13/2001	Jurgen Schredl	70408	7149	
7590 08/02/2004 McGLEW AND TUTTLE			EXAMINER TRAN, LEN		
John James McGlew Scarborough Station			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Scarborough, N	NY 10510-0827		1725		
			DATE MAILED: 08/02/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	A - 11			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	Office Action Summary	10/020,594	SCHREDL ET AL.			
	emee nouen cummary	Examiner	Art Unit			
***	The MAN INC BATE And	Len Tran	1725			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports.	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence addres	s		
- External control con	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of this will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commun	nication.		
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 Ju</u>	ılv 2004				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar		ters prosecution as to the mor	ito io		
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.), 11, 453 O.G. 213.	118 18		
Disposit	ion of Claims	• •	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw					
5)[]	Claim(s) is/are withdraw	vii irom consideration.				
	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
,	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
	on Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examinel	. .				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyar	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1 1	21(d)		
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-15	2.		
	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.				
	Copies of the certified copies of the priori	ty documents have been	received in this National Stage	<u></u>		
	application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	2.292			
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list o	of the certified copies not	received.			
ttachment((s)					
	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)			
	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	/Mail Date			
) ∐ Inform Paper	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of In 6) Other:	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Patent and Tra		o) 🗀 Other	-			
OL-326 (Re		on Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 0727	72004		

Application/Control Number: 10/020,594

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1-9, 12-15, and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leicht et al(US 5,551,627), and further in view of Gotman (US 4,404,453).

Leitcht et al disclose the process for producing a contact structure for connecting two substrates comprising the steps of applying solder material to terminal to form spacing metallizations, and bonding the first substrate to the second substrate, wherein the solder is a spherical shape (figure 3, col. 4, lines 5-9). An adhesive compound is applied to the solder (col. 4, lines 40-51).

Application/Control Number: 10/020,594

Art Unit: 1725

Leicht et al fail to disclose partially fusing the solder with a laser energy.

However, Gotman discloses using laser energy to partially melt the solder (col. 3, lines 19-22) for the purpose of avoiding or minimizing any damage to the parts being attached together (col. 2, lines 35-40). In addition, Gotman discloses heating the solder (72) to become partially liquefied and then fusion takes place, in which during the bonding action (col. 4, lines 18-36).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to provide a laser heating means to partially melt the solder as taught by Gotman, in Leicht et al in order to prevent any damage to the parts.

4. Claims 10, 11, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leicht et al (US 5,551,627), and further in view of Beddingfield et al (US 5,710,071).

Leicht et al disclose the claimed invention above in paragraph 3, but fail to teach filling the gap between the substrates with a filler material.

However, Beddingfield et al disclose applying a filler (encapsulant) material in the gap of the substrates for the purpose of expelling any trap air and to prevent the chip from warping (abstract).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to have filler material in between the substrates as taught by Beddingfield et al, in Leicht et al in order to expel air and prevent warping.

Application/Control Number: 10/020,594

Art Unit: 1725

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed on July 01, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Gotmann fails to teach partially melting the solder during the bonding action. However, examiner respectfully disagrees, since in column 4, lines 18-36, Gotmann discloses partially melting the solder and then fusion takes place. Therefore, the solder is partially melted during the bonding action.

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/020,594

Art Unit: 1725

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Len Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-1184. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30 - 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Len Tran Examiner Art Unit 1725

LT July 27, 2004 Kiley Stoner A4 1725 My Atm 7/28/04